

Multi-linear Algebra
– Tensor Ranks & CP decomposition–
Lecture 15

F. M. Faulstich

19/03/2024

Matrix rank

Recall:

A matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is of rank r if and only if:

- There are exactly r linearly independent columns in \mathbf{A}
- There are exactly r linearly independent row in \mathbf{A}
- The image of the linear map induced by \mathbf{A} is of dimension r
- r is the smallest number such that exist $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and real numbers $\sigma_i > 0$ such that

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{v}_i^\top = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i \otimes \mathbf{v}_i$$

- r is the smallest number, such that there exist r -dimensional subspaces $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ and $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, such that A is an element of the induced tensor space $V \otimes U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$

Canonical Polyadic (CP) Decomposition

Definition:

Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \dots \times n_d}$ be a tensor of order d . A representation of \mathbf{X} as a sum of elementary tensors

$$\mathbf{X} = \sum_{p=1}^r \mathbf{v}_{1,p} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{v}_{d,p} = \sum_{p=1}^r \bigotimes_{i=1}^d \mathbf{v}_{i,p}$$

for $\mathbf{v}_{i,p} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ is called a canonical polyadic (CP) representation of \mathbf{X} . The number of terms r is called the “rank of the representation”. The minimal r , such that there exists a CP decomposition of X with rank r , is called the canonical rank or CP-rank of \mathbf{X} .

Example

$$\left[\begin{bmatrix} 1.5 & -2.5 \\ 2.5 & -2.5 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right]$$

Example

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\begin{bmatrix} 1.5 & -2.5 \\ 2.5 & -2.5 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right] &= \left[\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right] + \left[\begin{bmatrix} -0.5 & -0.5 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right] \\ &= 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + (-0.5) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Example

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\begin{bmatrix} 1.5 & -2.5 \\ 2.5 & -2.5 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right] &= \left[\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right] + \left[\begin{bmatrix} -0.5 & -0.5 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right] \\ &= 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + (-0.5) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The rank of this decomposition is 2

However, we also find

$$\left[\begin{bmatrix} 1.5 & -2.5 \\ 2.5 & -2.5 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right]$$

Example

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\begin{bmatrix} 1.5 & -2.5 \\ 2.5 & -2.5 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right] &= \left[\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right] + \left[\begin{bmatrix} -0.5 & -0.5 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right] \\ &= 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + (-0.5) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The rank of this decomposition is 2

However, we also find

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\begin{bmatrix} 1.5 & -2.5 \\ 2.5 & -2.5 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right] &= 1.5 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + (-2) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ (-2.5) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ 2.5 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + (-2) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ (-2.5) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + 2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Example

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\begin{bmatrix} 1.5 & -2.5 \\ 2.5 & -2.5 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right] &= \left[\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right] + \left[\begin{bmatrix} -0.5 & -0.5 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right] \\ &= 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + (-0.5) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The rank of this decomposition is 2

However, we also find

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\begin{bmatrix} 1.5 & -2.5 \\ 2.5 & -2.5 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right] &= 1.5 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + (-2) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ (-2.5) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ 2.5 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + (-2) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ (-2.5) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + 2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The rank of this decomposition is 8.

Example

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\begin{bmatrix} 1.5 & -2.5 \\ 2.5 & -2.5 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right] &= \left[\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right] + \left[\begin{bmatrix} -0.5 & -0.5 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right] \\ &= 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + (-0.5) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The rank of this decomposition is 2

However, we also find

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\begin{bmatrix} 1.5 & -2.5 \\ 2.5 & -2.5 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right] &= 1.5 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + (-2) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ (-2.5) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ 2.5 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + (-2) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ (-2.5) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + 2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The rank of this decomposition is 8.

Deciding whether a rational tensor has CP-rank r is NP-hard ¹

¹J. Håstad, Journal of Algorithms, 1990

CP decomposition

Given a tensor \mathbf{X} , we seek to find

$$\mathbf{X}_* = \underset{\text{CP-rank}(\mathbf{X}_r) \leq r}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}_r\| \quad (1)$$

Matrices:

- Eckart–Young gives insight for unitarily invariant matrices

Tensors

- For many tensor ranks $r \geq 2$ and all orders $d \geq 3$, regardless of the choice of $\|\cdot\|$:

Eq. (1) is ill-defined²!

- There are methods calculating approximate CP decompositions of higher-order tensors
 - Challenging and expensive task
 - In practice approached using optimization algorithms

²De Silva & Lim, SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications, 2008

Set of Tensors with Fixed Canonical Rank

Ill-Definedness of Eq. (1) can be connected to the following problem:

Let's consider

$$\mathcal{M}_{\leq r} = \{ \mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \dots \times n_d} \mid \text{CP-rank}(\mathbf{X}) \leq r \}$$

the sequence

$$\mathbf{X}_n = n \left(\mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{v} \right) \otimes \left(\mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{v} \right) \otimes \left(\mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{v} \right) - n \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}$$

with $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\|\mathbf{v}\| = \|\mathbf{u}\| = 1$ and $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle \neq 1$.

Set of Tensors with Fixed Canonical Rank

Ill-Definedness of Eq. (1) can be connected to the following problem:

Let's consider

$$\mathcal{M}_{\leq r} = \{ \mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \dots \times n_d} \mid \text{CP-rank}(\mathbf{X}) \leq r \}$$

the sequence

$$\mathbf{X}_n = n \left(\mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{v} \right) \otimes \left(\mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{v} \right) \otimes \left(\mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{v} \right) - n \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}$$

with $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\|\mathbf{v}\| = \|\mathbf{u}\| = 1$ and $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle \neq 1$.

Note that $\mathbf{X}_n \in \mathcal{M}_{\leq r}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, however

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{X}_n = \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v} \notin \mathcal{M}_{\leq r}$$

Set of Tensors with Fixed Canonical Rank

Ill-Definedness of Eq. (1) can be connected to the following problem:

Let's consider

$$\mathcal{M}_{\leq r} = \{ \mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \dots \times n_d} \mid \text{CP-rank}(\mathbf{X}) \leq r \}$$

the sequence

$$\mathbf{X}_n = n \left(\mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{v} \right) \otimes \left(\mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{v} \right) \otimes \left(\mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{v} \right) - n \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}$$

with $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\|\mathbf{v}\| = \|\mathbf{u}\| = 1$ and $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle \neq 1$.

Note that $\mathbf{X}_n \in \mathcal{M}_{\leq r}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, however

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{X}_n = \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v} \notin \mathcal{M}_{\leq r}$$

Similarly

$$\mathcal{M}_r = \{ \mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \dots \times n_d} \mid \text{CP-rank}(\mathbf{X}) = r \}$$

is not closed.

Difficulties CP format

- CP decomposition sets have very little structure
- Low-rank matrices for manifolds
→ we can use optimization techniques on Manifolds
- CP rank tensor do not form any kind of manifold → optimization on such sets is extremely difficult
- The approximation is ambiguous
→ Many parameters $\mathbf{v}_{p,i}$ approximate the same tensor equally well
⇒ More in Mitchell & Burdick, Journal of Chemometrics, 1994

The CP format allows an unparalleled complexity reduction for tensors with small canonical rank!

Computational Aspects of CP decomposition

Recall:

Storing a tensor $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \dots \times n_d}$ requires $\mathcal{O}(n^d)$, where $n = \max_i n_i$.

Computational Aspects of CP decomposition

Recall:

Storing a tensor $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \dots \times n_d}$ requires $\mathcal{O}(n^d)$, where $n = \max_i n_i$.

In the CP format, we store the vector entries $\mathbf{v}_{i,p}$.

→ requires $\mathcal{O}(ndr)$

→ linearly in the dimension

What about operations?

Addition in CP format

Consider

Then the addition of \mathbf{X} and $\bar{\mathbf{X}}$ i.e.,

$$\mathbf{X} + \bar{\mathbf{X}} = \sum_{p=1}^r \bigotimes_{i=1}^d \mathbf{v}_{i,p} + \sum_{p=1}^{\bar{r}} \bigotimes_{i=1}^d \bar{\mathbf{v}}_{i,p} = \sum_{p=1}^{\bar{r}+r} \bigotimes_{i=1}^d \mathbf{w}_{i,p}$$

with

$$\mathbf{w}_{i,p} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{v}_{i,p} & k \leq r \\ r\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{i,p} & k > r \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

In order to access the element, we have to perform the following operation

$$(\mathbf{X} + \bar{\mathbf{X}})[i_1, \dots, i_d] = \left(\sum_{p=1}^{\bar{r}+r} \bigotimes_{k=1}^d \mathbf{w}_{i,p} \right) [i_1, \dots, i_d] = \sum_{p=1}^{\bar{r}+r} \prod_{k=1}^d \mathbf{w}_{i,p}[i_k]$$

Which scales as $\mathcal{O}(nd(\bar{r} + r))$, compared to adding two dense tensors $\mathcal{O}(n^d)$

k th-mode contraction

Given a matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_k \times m}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{X} *_k \mathbf{A} &= \left(\sum_{p=1}^{\bar{r}+r} \bigotimes_{k=1}^d \mathbf{W}_{i,p} \right) *_k \mathbf{A} \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^{\bar{r}+r} \left(\bigotimes_{k=1}^d \mathbf{W}_{i,p} \right) *_k \mathbf{A} \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^{\bar{r}+r} \mathbf{v}_{1,p} \otimes \dots \otimes \left(\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{v}_{k,p} \right) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{v}_{d,p}\end{aligned}$$

Other tensor operations in CP format

Operation	CP-Format	dense tensor
Hadamard Product	$\mathcal{O}(ndr\bar{r})$	$\mathcal{O}(n^d)$
Frobenius Inner Product	$\mathcal{O}(ndr\bar{r})$	$\mathcal{O}(n^d)$
Frobenius Norm	$\mathcal{O}(ndr^2)$	$\mathcal{O}(n^d)$
k -mode product	$\mathcal{O}((d+m)nr)$	$\mathcal{O}(n^d m)$